Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/588,161	FUKUNAGA, TATSUYA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Benny Lee	2817
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Benny Lee (PTO)</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Gang Luo</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 November 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 5, 7		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Clarifying changes to the specification and claims 5, 7 were discussed and agreement reached as to specific changes. See accompanying examiners amendment.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan.       It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan.       It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan.       It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redidence of the separate redidence redidence redidence of the separate redidence re	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
and the result in recordation of an access, at offer duffiniary	a j and oxuminor appoars in t	
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)